

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO. DOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/916,804	07/27/2001	Yutaka Takeshima	P/1071-1392	2161
7:	590 06/24/2003			
Edward A. Meilman DICKSTEIN SHIPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY 1177 Avenue of the Americas			EXAMINER	
			BARR, MICHAEL E	
41st Floor New York, NY	10036-2714		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			1762	10
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2003	(0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\sim
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	CT
•	09/916,804	TAKESHIMA, YU	TAKA /
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Michael Barr	1762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence ac	idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF	PLV IS SET TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of th od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered time DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	1 June 2003 .		
, _ ·	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal m	latters, prosecution as to t	he merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims	er Ex рапе Quayle, 1935 С	J.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the applicat			·
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	frawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		Alta Farania an	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac			
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in		disapproved by the Exami	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
	LAGITIMOT.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	oian priority under 25 II S (2 & 110(a) ₋ (d) or (f)	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force	eigh phonty under 35 0.5.0	5. 8 119(a)-(u) or (i).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	anta haya baan rasaiyad		
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum			al Stane:
application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ii Otago
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provision	al application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application has	been received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper N of Informal Patent Application (P	

Application/Control Number: 09/916,804

• Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments and amendments, filed 6/11/2003, have been fully considered and reviewed by the examiner. In light of the amendments, the rejections to the claims have been withdrawn by the examiner. A new grounds of rejection follows. Claims 1-14 are pending.

The applicant's arguments are most in light of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 9, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashi et al. in view of Solayappan et al. and Tisone.

Hayashi et al. and Solayappan et al. are applied here for the same reasons as given in paragraph 4 of the previous office action. Hayashi et al. and Solayappan et al. do not teach that solution and gas are mixed in the nozzle, atomized in the nozzle, and discharged into the chamber. Tisone teaches dispensing a liquid onto a substrate by atomization of the liquid, where the liquid and atomizing gas are mixed in a two-fluid nozzle, atomized in the nozzle, and discharged out of the nozzle and onto the substrate (Col. 5, lines 10-20). It would have been an obvious modification of the Hayashi et al. and Solayappan et al. process to use the atomization

Application/Control Number: 09/916,804

• Art Unit: 1762

>

nozzle of Tisone to dispense the solution into the coating chamber of Hayashi et al., with the expectation of providing the desired atomized dispensing/deposition of the coating solution of Hayashi et al. and Solayappan et al., since it is shown by Tisone that such an atomizing nozzle is conventionally used for the atomization and dispensing of liquids onto a substrate surface, which is the desire of Hayashi et al.

4. Claims 4-8 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashi et al., Solayappan et al., and Tisone, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ogi et al.

Hayashi et al., Solayappan et al., Tisone, and Ogi et al. are applied here for the same reasons as given above and in paragraph 5 of the previous office action.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Barr whose telephone number is 703-305-7919. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck can be reached on 703-308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 or 703-305-5408 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Michael Barr Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762 Page 4

MB June 17, 2003